STATE OF CALIFORNIA

CALIFORNIA INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT BOARD

Base Year Modification Request Certification

Part 1: Generation Study - No Extrapolation Diversion Data

To request a substitution for a previously approved base year used in calculating the diversion rate for your jurisdiction, please complete and sign this form and return it to your Office of Local Assistance (OLA) representative at the address below, along with any additional information requested by OLA staff. When all documentation has been received, your OLA representative will work with you to prepare for your appearance before the Board. If you have any questions about this process, please call (916) 341-6199 to be connected to your OLA representative.

Mail completed documents to:

California Integrated Waste Management Board
Office of Local Assistance
1001 I Street, (MS-25)
PO Box 4025
Sacramento, CA 95812-4025

General Instructions:

Please select the ONE choice below that best explains your request to the Board.
☑ 1. Use a recent generation-based study to calculate our current reporting year
generation amount, but not officially change our existing Board-approved base year.
2. Use a recent generation-based study to officially change our
existing Board-approved base year to a new base year.

The shaded cells on these sheets are protected. If you have problems using these sheets, please contact your Office of Local Assistance representative by calling (916) 341-6199.

Section I:	Jurisdiction Information and	i Certification	on :			
All responde	ents must complete this section.		· .	<u> </u>		
	der penalty of perjury that the information, and that I am authorized to make				rrect to the best of	of my
lunsdiction N	ame	·	County			
Napa Cour	nty Unincopronated - 2000		Napa			
Authorized Sig	gnature		Title Dicect	or Envi	ir din menta, Phone () Include	1 Mamni
Type/Print Na	me of Person Signing		Date	, ,	Phone () Include	e Area Code
Trent Cave			11/18/2002		707-253-4471	
Person Comp	leting This Form (please print or type)		Title _			
Amy Garden			Envion	nmenta	O Resource	Special
Affiliation:	Napa County Department of En	vironmental I	Management			
Mailing Addre	ess	1	ity	State	Z	IP Code
1195 Third St	reet Rm 101	Napa	·····	CA	94559	
E-Mail Addres	ss <u>agarden@co.napa.ca.us</u>					

Section II: Information for New Genera	tion-Ba	sed Stu	dy for Existing or Ne	w Base Year						
Attach additional sheets if necessary-	-referer	ice eac	n response to the ap	propriate cell	numbe	er (e.g.,"4	!").			
Note: New base years must be represent	ative of	a jurisdi	ction's disposal and d	iversion.						
1. Current Board-approved existing base	уеаг:		2. Proposed new go	eneration-base	ed study	year:				
1995			2000							
3. Explain how the proposed generation s	tudy yea	ar is rep	resentative of average	annual jurisd	iction di	sposal a	nd di	version:		
The proposed generation study is represe verifiable through CIWMB-approved methodisposal reporting system and the diversion Diversion Study Guide.	ods. Th	ie dispo	sai numbers are those	reported to the	ne CIWI	MB throug	gh th	18		
4 F-to-discosion and information to					.					
4. Enter diversion rate information belo	w.				- 1					
Diversion rate calculated using existing base year	_ ا	_ ^/	Diversion rate calc							
	a3	<u>5 %</u>	new generation-ba		b.			<u>% </u>		
For existing base year			For new generation	· ·	у	+	5.1			
pounds/person/day based on generation		.06	pounds/person/da generation	y based on	l					
Residential Non-Residenti		.00	Residential	Non-P	esident	al				
generation 32 % generation	68	%	generation 32%		eration	68%		%		
Population existing generation-based s	tudy	14,000	Population new ge					4,000		
5. If there is an increase from 4a to 4b, ple current diversion implementation efforts. If pounds/person/day, please explain how th examples (e.g., change in jurisdiction's de The diversion rate calculation in 4a uses of based on a calculation using actual disposstatistics from several sources.	the pro is is cor mograp lefault n	posed r nsistent <u>hics).</u> umbers	ew generation tonnag with your current diver from the CIWMB's Div	e results in an rsion implement version Rate C	increase ntation e	e in your efforts an or. The nu	imbe	er in 4b is		
6. If the difference between the proposed of the specific reasons for the difference. (For The County continues to implement a variety of our achievement of a 50% diversion rate in ord CIWMB's Guide to Conducting a Diversion Sturguantify diversion from any material type source conduct, for instance, paper source reduction a several sources of diversion that we are not day segregate Unincorporated County statistics from	or example or example or to obtain the color of the color	ple: new eduction ain comp nany mor ion activi including this time	/improved curbside di and recycling programs. liance with the California e options for claiming di ties, even though 70 - 90 double-siding, routing m because it would requin	version progra . We have used a Integrated Wa version. For ex 0% of the busing nemos, email, a e a substantial i	only twe ste Mana ample we esses su nd paper nvestme	live source agement A e have not rveyed inc re-use. I nt of staff	es to Act. T tried dicate There	indicate The I to ed they are		

7. Disposal Tonnage (enter values):		10554	22426	32380						
•	•	Residential	Non-Residential	Total						
Pleas	Please select the ONE choice below that best explains your disposal data and complete the required tables.									
☑	a. All tons claimed are from the Board's Disposi	al Reporting Syste	m (No explanation required. Go to Section 8.)	·						
v	b. All tons claimed are from a 100 percent audit of hauler and self-haul tonnage. (Please complete Reporting Year Tonnage Request and Modification Certification sheet found at www.ciwmb.ca.gov/LGCentral/Forms/rytnmdrq.doc)									
1										
	c. Some Disposal Reporting System data were corrected. (Please complete Reporting Year Tonnage Modification Request and Certification sheet found at www.ciwmb.ca.gov/LGCentral/Forms/rytnmdrq.doc)									
Ī				•						

Note: The Board has indicated that it will be scrutinizing total source reduction amounts greater than 5% of total generation. Please be prepared to provide additional details subsantiating your claim.

Divarsion Activity Please use the Board's program types. The program type glossary is online at www.olwmb.ca.gov/l.gCentral/Paris/Co	Actual tons In the distribution of the control of t		Specific Material Type(s) (List operation without job materials in the pool of	Specific Correspon Factor Used (If any) and Source	
des/Reduce.htm	Billion Company		anaumana (ing duling belief best distriction	
Residential Source Reduction Activities	ala aire dhit naich fhach		egyks angelggig tegganin oleh basilah dibi pilan besah bahil bahilan bibbah	NE (SE INNIA 1945 INTERNITARI PROPERTI NO PROPERTI PROPERTI PROPERTI PROPERTI PROPERTI PROPERTI PROPERTI PROPE	
Backyard composting			виливарини ваянивника портива в процения подпинительного принасти		Not claiming diversion from this existing source at this time.
Grasscycling		0.0%			и и
Other Residential Source Reduction	(list each pro	gram separatel y			
Enter program name		0.0%			
Enter program name	į.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Enter program name		0.0%			
Enter program name		10.0%			
Enter program name		0.0%			
Subtotal, Residential Source Reduction Constitution (Inches)		0.0 %	ente antendar, en la		distripti, in the objective permitter and expression of the second selective section of the second s
Residential Recycling Activities	delle dell'ed a	Albariela argabe de la maioria.	######################################	0 (1911-1915) - Prografia (1911-1915) - Prografia (1911-1915) - Prografia (1911-1915) - Prografia (1911-1915) Prografia	
Curbside Recycling	543		newspaper, cardboard, glass, paper, plastic, aluminum	Actual tonnage records	NVDS (GA & FA = 445.12 tons) and Berryessa Garbage Service records ≃ 98.2 tons)
Buyouck Centers	<u> </u>	u zanakhari nahbudan	giorni idiri	Protection rays (600) 45	Not claiming diversion from this existing source at this
rintancen Carlotten Dulaitin eta ar Eta ilia					time.
Original Centers in the State of the State o	713	1.0%	newspaper, cardboard, glass, plastic, aluminum	Actual tonnage records	Private Recycler records (Buy In incl. DRTS)

^{8.} In the table below, list the summarized diversion activities, and diversion data records that support your claim and are available for Board audit. Note: The Board expects the jurisdictions to be able to provide all back-up documentation, if requested. Include type of record and location—for example, weight tickets from transfer stations. This section should capture all diversion tonnage (form will perform all addition calculations). If any diversion is from restricted wastes, agricultural wastes, inert solids [e.g., concrete, asphalt, dirt.] white goods, and scrap metal, please identify those programs/waste types and fill out Section 10. Please mark as Attachment 8 all copies of survey forms.

^{*}Please provide detailed Non-Residential waste information in Section 9.

July - Divorsion Activity	Actual tons	Relative Percent to		I Managar and their teather and an angle of the angle of	
	Acutal tolls	Total Generation	Specific Material Type(s) (List operation wimultiple materials in one box)	Specific Conversion Factor Used (It any) and Source	Type of Record and Location of Record
	100				
lease use the Board's program types.		(A)Total:	THE REPORT OF THE PERSON AND THE PER	igning digital in the control of the	
The program type glossáry is online at: www.ctwmb.ca.gov/LGCemral/Paris/Co	(Α)	Generation)			
les/Reduce htm	1.16		Harriston Company of the Company of	日間を発展する - Andrew Transform (Andrew Process Transform Process Tra	
Other Residential Recycling (list eac	h program se	eparately)		3 までかけます。1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
NVDS collection (inerts)	325	0.5%	steel, concrete, dirt, toilets	Actual tonnage records- MRF composting and recycling facility	NVDS records (GA & FA =275.84) and Berryessa
Vood waste (NVDS)	- 525		steer, concrete, dirt, toilets	Actual tonnage records- MRF composting and recycling	Garbage Service records (49.1 tons)
	473	0.7%	wood waste to biomass fuel	facility	NVDS (GA & FA)
Enter program name					
Enter program name		4470			
Enter program name					
oubtotal, Residential Recycling	2054	2.9%			
Residential Composting Activities					
Green Waste Drop-off				Actual tonnage records / MRF Composting and	
	230	0.3%	green/yardwaste	Recycling Facility / Buy In drop off	Private Recycler records (Buy In) GA & FA
Curbside Green Waste	1827	2.6%	green/yardwaste	Actual tonnage records/ curbside service	NVDS records (GA & FA)
Other Residential Composting (list e					Not claiming as separate diversion figure.
		Manuscania.			
Enter program name	Alialer Little				
Enter program name					
Enter program name					
Enter program name		5734			
Enter program name		118841	·		
iubtotal, Residential Composting	Marian .	i e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e			
	2057	2.9%	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		a property of the state of the
ubtotal, Residential Diversion	4411	5.7%			
on-Residential Source Reduction					
ctivities	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	o de la companio de l			
Non-Residential Waste Audits	6716.1	9.4%	See Section 9	See Section 9	See Section 9
Other Non-Residential Source Reduc	tion (list eac	h progr <mark>am s</mark> epar	ately)		
	kin summuladi				
Enter Program name		#Hada			
Enter program name Enter program name					
Enter program name					
ubtotal, Non-Residential Source			TO SHOULD BE SEEN TO SHOULD BE SEEN TO SHOULD BE SHOULD		PROPERTY OF A CONTROL OF THE PROPERTY OF THE P
UDICIAL NON-Kesidential Sourca	60000000000000000000000000000000000000				

Diversion Activity	Actual tore	r will down the bringing	Specific National Type(s) (Let operation wimplifips materials	Specific Conversion Factor Used (If any) and Source	Type of Record and Location of Record
Please use the Board's program types.			Bilandi de Maria de La companya de Bilandi de la companya de la company		
The program type glossary is online at: www.cawmb.ca.gov/LGCentral/Paris/Co	. A)	(A/Total Generation)	Anther Parallel Control of the Contr		
des/Rocuce him		her and ceremical all fill block this			Carlotte Market and Carlotte Market and Carlotte Market Market Market Market Market Market Market Market Market
Non-Residential Waste Audits 111	16,151.04	22.6%	See Section 9	See Section 9	See Section 9
i ann a dhe a na chalain a dheann a dheann a dheann a dheann a dheann a chalain a dheann a chalain a dheann a d	indi descendi del	mi separately,	Includes newspaper, cardboard, glass, paper, plastic,		
Commercial collection	1000	1.4%	tin, aluminum,	Actual tonnage records- commercial recycling	NVDS (GA & FA = 945.88 tons) & BGS (53.9)
Commercial collection (wood)	1477	erren a di K empuli	wood waste to biomass fuel	Actual tonnage records- commercial recycling	NVDS (GA & FA)
NVDS collection and MRF drop off	586	0.8%	steel, concrete, dirt, toilets		
Private Recycler - commercial buy back Enter program name	1707	2.4%	Includes newspaper, cardboard, glass, paper	Actual tonnage records- Private Recycler/Buy in	Private recycler records
Subtotal Non-Residential Recycling					
Non-Residential Composting	20921	29.3%		alla sun a la se la compania de la compania del compania del compania de la compania del compani	
Activities Activ	1500.2	2.1%	See Section 9	See Section 9	See Section 9
		ram separately)		4.40 (2.30 (3.4.31) (3.4.4.10) (4.5.4.10) (4.5.4.10) (4.4.4.10) (4.	erio, interiori, como estado estado en la como en la estado en el filosoficios en la estado en la estado en la
NVDS Commercial Green waste pickup	3881	5.4%	yardwaste	Actual tonnage records- MRF composting and recycling facility (NVDS)	NVDS (GA & FA)
Green waste drop off	489	0.7%	yardwaste	Actual tonnage records- MRF composting and recycling facility (NVDS)	Private Recycler records (Buy In) GA & FA
Enter program name		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			,
Enter program name		Mahari mhi dhere da ata a sa			
Enter program name			PPT 1 (0.00 tipl) 1 (100) tipl (1.00 tipl) (1.00 tipl (1.00 tipl) tipl (1.	 	
Subtotal Non-Residential Composting	5871	8.2%			
Subtotal Non-Residential Diversion	33508	46.9%		i dan ni 1916 i da Perinciana probanta en en industria espera de la composición del composición de la	diagrafia primara pengun bisanaka dan barahar 1. Terup bisanan pen
Residential/Non-Residential Diversion Activities ADC					
Sludge	-	Milliothidae			
Scrap Metal Construction and Demolition				Actual Tonnage Records - mixed waste recycling	Private Recycler records (Buy In) DRTSRYA
Landiii Salvage	425	0.6%	metal, cardboard, concrete , dirt, yardwaste	program for Roofer/C&D at MRF Actual Tonnage Records - mixed waste recycling	Unincorprorated County = 32.3% for 1999 Private Recycler records (Buy In) DRTSRYA
	492	0.7%	wood waste to biomass fuel	program for Roofer/C&D at MRF	Unincorprorated County = 32.3% for 2000.
Subjectat Residential/ Non-Residential Diversion	917				
Total Res/Non-Res Source Reduction Tons	8716	5.4%	t is the stress of the particle of the control of the particle	de de la comita de la comitación de la com	on iki menglah di menglah di kacamatan di pelangan kacamatan di kacamatan di menglah di pelangan penglah di ka
Total Diversion Tons	38536	53,9%			
Total Disposal Tons from Sec.7	32980	46.1%			
	71516		A CONTROL OF THE CONT		
Total Generation Tons (Div-Dis)	e je ner eta 110 ini Garago				

Diversion Activity	Relative Percent to Specific Malerial Type(s) (Let operation w/multiple materials	pecific Conversion Factor Used (if any) and Source	
	Total Generation in one box)		
Please use the Board's program types	A/Cotal		mistralista (di tr
The program type glossary is online at: (A)	Generation)		
www.clwmb.ca.gov/LGCentral/Paris/Co			
des/Reduce.ntm		Level 1 (1) (All 1) (A	
Diversion Rate 54%			

J. Specific Non-Residential Sector Waste Audits--Top 10 Non-Residential Generators

Please complete this table for the top 10 non-residential generators that were surveyed. List each non-residential generator separately from largest to smallest, based on total diversion tons. Audit reference number ties to your audit sheets.

(Table will perform all addition calculations).

Type of Non-Residential Generator	Audit Reference Number	Specific/Major Diversion Activities Include Material Type (e.g., paper recycling, grasscycling). (List activities on one line)	Source Reduction Tons	Recycling Tons	Composting Tons	Total Diversion Tons	Percent of Total Generation (Total Diversion Tons/Total Generation in Section 8)	Survey Method Phone (P) Mail (M) Ori-site (O) Other
Lacado do 1520-colo (1810)				NO RELIED THE HID PARTS			i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	. (c.) Programa (c.)
Road Base manufacturer	Co	oncrete and asphalt recycler		12758		12758	17.8%	P,M
Pipe manufacturer		ecycling multiple materials		2869		2869	4.0%	M,P
golf course	gr	asscycling	2584			2584	3.6%	P
golf course	gr	asscycling	2280			2280	3.2%	Р
state hospital	Re	ecycling multiple materials	131	213	765	1108.8	1.6%	SOARD
golf course	gr	asscycling	456			456	0.6%	Р
winery	lai	nd application of pomace	337			336.7	0.5%	М
winery	cc	omposted			295	295.3	0.4%	М
winery	00	omposted			225	225	0.3%	M
winery	la	nd application of pomace	210			210	0.3%	М
TARAKAN MANAKAN MANAKAN MANAKAN MANAKAN MANAKA	en e	itais eksi tantaani Sula andre eksi iliki Suni eksi eksi autone ilikutaanis alahaa eks	5997.5	15840	1285.3	23122.8	32.3%	

Also provide an attachment 9 which includes all of the generators surveyed. Include for each generator (use type of generator in lieu of specific business name) diversion activity and material type and associated tonnage for each diversion activity/material type, and applicable conversion factors/sources. Include copies of survey form(s) used.

Summarize the non-residential diversion activities for the top 10 generators quantification methodology, and applicable conversion factors and sources (e.g., cardboard recycling: quantified by monthly tonnage receipts provided by the contact person at the business).

1) Road base manufacturer - The methodology for quantifying the tonnage from this source is described in Attachment 9 (Exhibit 1).

²⁾ Steel pipe manufacturer generates high grade steel for recycling as part of the manufacturing process. The company also recycles cardboard, film plastic. Wood sent for recycling (476 tons indicated on survey) is not being included in diversion numbers because pallets are included. Information was obtained through a mailed survey and confirmed by phone. 3) Golf course with 340 mowable acres. Information obtained by phone survey. The CIWMB Diversion Guide Appendix J - Organics Section indicates grasscycling diverts 350 pounds per 100sq ft. An acre is 43,560 sq ft so 15,246lbs/acre or 7.6 tons/acre. Contact names and dates of calls are kept in Napa County Dept of Environmental Mgmt (DEM) office. Attachment 9 - Exhibit 2. 4) Golf course with 300 mowable acres. See #3. 5) State Hospital reports are submitted to CIWMB. Hospital keeps records disposal and recycling records on site and uses CIWMB conversion factors. 6 - 10) Winery Composting/land appl;ication - A survey is mailed to all wineries in the County's database. Wineries are asked to indicate their location relative to AB 939 reporting areas and how pomace (an organic byproduct of winemaking) is handled. Pomace is calculated at 15% the total grape tons crushed (this figure was recommended by the two local municipal composters). The tonnage indicated is only the amount that can be verified through actual survey responses. With 40% of the wineries not responding, actual composted tonnage could be substantially higher. Survey results are kept in DEM.

- 10. For each restricted waste type (i.e., agricultural waste, inert solids, [e.g. concreter, asphalt, dirt, etc.] scrap metals and white goods [PRC section 41781.2]) and associated program, please provide the following information:
- a. If the diversion program started on or after January 1, 1990, complete the following table.

Note: program name refers to one specific diversion program for that waste type (e.g., "Diversion conducted by city public waste dept.".

Restricted Waste Ty	pe	Specific Program Name	Year Started	Tonnage	
Inert Solids	•	Syar Industries	 1990	See Attach 9	
Pull Down for Waste Types	•				
Pull Down for Waste Types	•				
Pull Down for Waste Types	•				
Pull Down for Waste Types	•				
Pull Down for Waste Types	-				

- **b.** If the diversion program started before January 1, 1990 and if documentation on the program and waste type has not been approved by the Board on a separate sheet marked "Attachment 10b", provide the documentation that indicates:
- How the diversion was the result of a local action taken by the jurisdiction, which specifically resulted in the diversion (PRC sec. 41781.2 [c] [1]).
- That the amount of that waste type diverted from the jurisdiction in 1990 was less than or equal to the amount of that waste type disposed at a permitted disposal facility by the jurisdiction in any year before 1990. (**Note**: this criterion is applicable to the entire jurisdiction, not to individual programs (PRC sec. 41781.2 [c] [2]). Please include documentation.
- That the jurisdiction is implementing, and will continue to implement, the diversion programs in its source reduction and recycling element.

Note: If documentation for a waste type and program has already been approved by the Board, you do not have a	to
provide an attachment 10b for that waste type and program.	
Instead please provide date of Board approval of previously submitted information. (Date)
If documentation is not available, go to 10d.	
c. If the diversion program started before January 1, 1990, and the documentation requested in 10b is available (but

c. If the diversion program started before January 1, 1990, and the documentation requested in 10b is available (not yet approved by the Board), complete the table below for each program claimed:

Restricted Waste Type		Specific Program Name	New Base Year or Reporting Year Diversion Tonnage
Inert Solids	▼	Syar Industries	See Attachment 9- follows
Pull Down for Waste Types	▼		
Pull Down for Waste Types	▼		
Pull Down for Waste Types	▼		
Pull Down for Waste Types	▼		
Pull Down for Waste Types	▼		

d. If the diversion program started before January 1, 1990, and the documentation requested in 10b is not available, please complete the table below for each program claimed. **Note**: Only the difference between the new base year/reporting year and 1990 can be counted in the diversion rate calculation.

Restricted Waste Ty	ре	Specific Program Name	New Base Year or Reporting Year Tonnage	1990 Diversion Tonnage	Difference
Pull Down for Waste Types			·		
Pull Down for Waste Types	▼ _				
Pull Down for Waste Types	▼ _				
Pull Down for Waste Types	▼ _				
Pull Down for Waste Types					
Pull Down for Waste Types	-				

Business Survey for 2000:

Type of Material Recycled or Reused Paper:	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	Totals	
Mixed paper Cardboard Newspaper Other paper				1.75				8.00			3.60			12.50				0.13	12.63 8.00 0.00 5.35	
Plastic: HDPE PET Film plastic Other plastic								0.50		0.05 0.05									0.05 0.05 0.50 0.00	
Glass: Glass Other glass						0.05		1.00											1.05 0.00	
Metals: Aluminum cans Copper Steel Scrap metal	0.05	0.07			2.00 1.00	0.10	0.15	2,859.00 0.50	0.40 7.00	0.05		0.10 1.00	90.00	0.13	6.00	0.25	0.05 0.05 0.25	0.10	2.91 1.45 2,867.00 96.88	
Wood: Pallets Other wood		4.80				0.50		80.00		0.50					20.00				25.80 80.00	
Grasscycling		11.38																	11.38	
Totals	0.05	16.25	0.00	1.75	3.00	0.65	0.15	2,949.00	7.40	0.65	3.60	1.10	90.00	12.63	26.00	0.25	0.35		3,113.04 3,113.04	

2000 Results of Pomace Survey

			l -			omace was	:		
	Į.								
							l		
					Applied	Dialogal contact	Picked up by		Interested i
	Location of	Total Tons	Total Tons of	Composted	directly as pomace to	Picked up by Napa Valley	Upper Valley Disposal		attending
Winery	Operation	Crushed	Pomace (Year	on site &	vineyards or	Disposal for	Service for	İ	composting
Name:	(Агеа):	(Year 2000):	2000):	applied	other land	composting	composting	Landfilled	class:
Winery	С С	1500.0	225.0	225			9		Yes
Winery	č	20.0	3.0	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	Yes
Winery	C	1200.0	180.0		180				Yes
Winery	С	0.0	0.0	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
Vineyards	C	700.0	105.0		105				Yes
Vineyards	С	229.0	34.4		34.4				Yes
Winery	С	560.0	84.0		84				No
Winery	C	170.0	25.5	005.0		25.5			Yes
Winery Winery	С	1968.6 400.0	295.3 60.0	295.3	60				No Yes
Vinery Vineyards	C C	50.0	7.5	7.5	- 60				Yes
Winery	c	0.0	0.0	,,,,					Yes
Vineyards	c	did not indicate		Х		-			Yes
Vineyards	c	15.0	2.3		2.3				No
Winery	c	250.0	37.5			37.5			Yes
Winery	С	100.0	15.0		15				No
Winery	С	2244.7	336.7		336.7				Yes
Vineyards	С	70.0	10.5		10.5				Yes
Vineyards	С	49.0	7.4	7.4					Yes
Winery	С	40.0	6.0	6					Yes
Vineyards	С	600.0	90.0		90				Yes No
Winery Vineyards	С	259.0	38.9		38.9				Yes
Winery	С	23.0 28.0	3.5 4.2	1.4	3.5 2.8				Yes
Vineyards	C C	108.0	16.2	1.4	2.0	16.2		<u> </u>	Yes
Winery	c	800.0	120.0			120			No
Vineyards	c	60.0	9.0		9	.20			Yes
Winery	c	400.0	60.0		60				No
Winery	С	12.0	1.8	1.8					
Winery	С	1400.0	210.0		210				No
Winery	С	5000.0	750.0			750			Yes
Winery	С	1281.0	192.2	192.2					Yes
Winery	С	58.0	8.7		8.7				Yes
Vineyards	С	80.0	12.0		12				Yes Yes
Winery	С	34.0	5.1		5.1				res
Grand Tota	I - Area C	19709.3	2,956.4			949.2			
Total Allow	able - Area C		2,000.3	735.2	1265.1				" '
Winery	b	0.0	0.0	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	No
Winery	b	61.0	9.2	-	×				Yes
Winery	b	2611.0	391.7			X			Yes
Winery	b	220.0	33.0		X			(Yes
Winery	b	0.0	0.0	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	No
Grand Tota	I - Area B	2892.0	433.8						
Vineyards	а	223.8	33.6	х					Yes
Winery	а	26.9	4.0	×					Yes
Vineyards	а	16621.0	2493.2				х		Yes
Vineyards	а	90.0	13.5	х					Yes
Winery	а	15.0	2.3		X				No
Vineyards	а	67.1	10.1	X				<u> </u>	Yes
Vineyards	а	87.0	13.1				X	 	No
Winery	a	60.0	9.0		X			 	No No
Winery Winery	a	990.0	148.5		Х				No No
vviriery	а	30.0	4.5	X	L		<u> </u>	İ	INU

	T	1		I					
						Pomace was	•		1
Winery Name:	Location of Operation (Area):	Total Tons Crushed (Year 2000):	Total Tons of Pomace (Year 2000):	Composted on site & applied	Applied directly as pomace to vineyards or other land	Picked up by Napa Valley Disposal for composting	Picked up by Upper Valley Disposal Service for composting	Landfilled	Interested in attending composting class:
Winery	а	8000.0	1200.0				х		No
Vineyards	а	1700.0	255.0				×		Yes
Vineyards	а	66.0	9.9		х				Yes
Winery	а	90.0	13.5		x				No
Winery	a	600.0	90.0	X					Yes
Vineyards Winery	a	20.0 1574.0	3.0 236.1		×				No
Vineyards	a a	3745.0	561.8				X X		Yes No
Vineyards	a	68.1	10.2	х					Yes
Winery	a	160.0	24.0	^	х				No
Winery	а	157.0	23.6		х				Yes
Winery	а	418.4	62.8				х		No
Winery	а	90.0	13.5				х		No
Winery	а	150.0	22.5		x				No
Winery	a	2.5	0.4	X					·
Winery	a	60.0 143.0	9.0		X				No
Winery Vineyards	a	143.0 6874.0	21.5 1031.1		X				Yes Yes
Winery	a	275.0	41.3	х			X		Yes No
Vineyards	а	971.0	145.7	^			×		Yes
Winery	a	850.0	127.5		×		^		Yes
Winery	а	634.0	95.1		×				
Winery	а	did not indicate		10%				90%	Yes
Winery	а	7200.0	1080.0				х		
Winery	a	40.0	6.0	x					Yes
Winery	a	200.0	30.0	i			X		No
Winery	a	86.0	12.9		X				Yes
Winery Vineyards	a a	261.0 63.0	39.2 9.5						No Yes
Winery	a	15.0	2.3	X X					Yes
Winery	a	1117.0	167.6	^		х			Yes
Winery	a	60.0	9.0			<u> </u>	x		No
Vineyards	а	60.0	9.0		х				No
Winery	а	850.0	127.5				х		Yes
Winery	а	970.0	145.5				х		Yes
Winery	а	100.0	15.0				х		No
Vineyards	a	400.0	60.0				х		No
Winery Winery	a	72.0 150.0	10.8 22,5	X					Yes
Winery	a a	425.0	63.8		x	<u>х</u>			No
Winery	a	643.0	96.5		^	^	x	·	No
Winery	a	3 10.0	11.0	x				······	No
Winery	а	125.0	18.8	X					Yes
Vineyards	а	27.0	4.1	х					No
Winery	а	29.8	4.5	x					No
Winery	а	128.9	19.3				х		Yes
Vineyards	а	1983.0	297.5	x	,				Yes
Winery	a	400.0	60.0		10%		90%		Yes
Winery Vineyards	a a	0.0 180.0	0.0 27.0	- U					Yes
Winery	a	360.0	54.0	х					Yes
Vineyards	a	416.0	62.4				X X		Yes
Winery	a	34.8	5.2	х			^		Yes
Winery	a	2000.0	300.0		х		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Yes
Winery	а	723.0	108.5		×			.	No
Vineyards	а	196.0	29.4	Х					Yes
Winery	а	did not indicate					x		Yes
Winery	a	672.0	100.8				х		Yes
Winery	<u>a</u>	799.0	119.9		x				Yes
Vineyards	a	0.0	0.0						Yes

Winery Name: Winery Winery Vineyards Winery Winery Winery Vineyards Winery Vineyards Winery Winery	Location of Operation (Area): a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a	Total Tons Crushed (Year 2000): 200.0 130.0 1212.6 550.0 148.0 122.0 112.0 8.6 170.0	Total Tons of Pomace (Year 2000): 30.0 19.5 181.9 82.5 22.2 18.3 16.8 1.3	Composted on site & applied x	Applied directly as pomace to vineyards or other land 50% x	Picked up by Napa Valley Disposal for composting	Picked up by Upper Valley Disposal Service for composting 50%	Landfilled	Interested in attending composting class: No Yes Yes No
Name: Winery Winery Vineyards Winery Winery Winery Vineyards Winery Vineyards Winery	Operation (Area): a a a a a a a a a a a a a a	Crushed (Year 2000): 200.0 130.0 1212.6 550.0 148.0 122.0 112.0 8.6 170.0	Pomace (Year 2000): 30.0 19.5 181.9 82.5 22.2 18.3 16.8	on site & applied	directly as pomace to vineyards or other land 50% x	Napa Valley Disposal for	Upper Valley Disposal Service for composting 50%	Landfilled	attending composting class: No Yes Yes
Name: Winery Winery Vineyards Winery Winery Winery Vineyards Winery Vineyards Winery	Operation (Area): a a a a a a a a a a a a a a	Crushed (Year 2000): 200.0 130.0 1212.6 550.0 148.0 122.0 112.0 8.6 170.0	Pomace (Year 2000): 30.0 19.5 181.9 82.5 22.2 18.3 16.8	on site & applied	vineyards or other land 50% x	Disposal for	Service for composting 50%	Landfilled	composting class: No Yes Yes
Name: Winery Winery Vineyards Winery Winery Winery Vineyards Winery Vineyards Winery	(Area): a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a	(Year 2000): 200.0 130.0 1212.6 550.0 148.0 122.0 112.0 8.6 170.0	2000): 30.0 19.5 181.9 82.5 22.2 18.3 16.8 1.3	applied x	other land 50% x		composting 50%	Landfilled	class: No Yes Yes
Winery Winery Vineyards Winery Winery Winery Vineyards Winery Vineyards Winery	a a a a a a a a a	200.0 130.0 1212.6 550.0 148.0 122.0 112.0 8.6 170.0	30.0 19.5 181.9 82.5 22.2 18.3 16.8	х	50% x	composting	50%	Landfilled	No Yes Yes
Winery Vineyards Winery Winery Winery Vineyards Winery	a a a a a a a	130.0 1212.6 550.0 148.0 122.0 112.0 8.6 170.0	19.5 181.9 82.5 22.2 18.3 16.8		x				Yes Yes
Vineyards Winery Winery Winery Vineyards Winery	a a a a a a a	1212.6 550.0 148.0 122.0 112.0 8.6 170.0	181.9 82.5 22.2 18.3 16.8 1.3		x				Yes
Winery Winery Winery Vineyards Winery	a a a a a a	550.0 148.0 122.0 112.0 8.6 170.0	82.5 22.2 18.3 16.8 1.3						
Winery Winery Vineyards Winery	a a a a a	148.0 122.0 112.0 8.6 170.0	22.2 18.3 16.8 1.3	5%					No
Winery Vineyards Winery	a a a a a	122.0 112.0 8.6 170.0	18.3 16.8 1.3	5%	95%				140
Vineyards Winery	a a a a	112.0 8.6 170.0	16.8 1.3	_				1 .	No
Winery	a a a	8.6 170.0	1.3				X		No
	a a	170.0			·		X		No
Winery	а				Х				No
		2007.0	25.5		х				Yes
Winery	2	3967.0	595.1				×		No
Winery	a	160.0	24.0				X		No
Winery	а	7000.0	1050.0	X					Yes
Winery	а	70.0	10.5	85%	15%				Yes
Vineyards	а	65.0	9.8	50%	50%				Yes
Winery	а	10.0	1.5	x					Yes
Vineyards	· a	35.0	5.3		х				No
Winery	а	150.0	22.5		Х				Yes
Vineyards	а	27.0	4.1		х				Yes
Vineyards	а	1002.0	150.3	x					Yes
Vineyards	а	100.0	15.0	×					Yes
Winery	а	566.0	84.9	x					No
Winery	а	16.0	2.4	X					No
Winery	а	1600.0	240.0				X		No
Vineyards	а	10.0	1.5	×					
Vineyards	а	912.0	136.8		х				
Winery	а	624.0	93.6				х		No
Vineyards	а	325.0	48.8		х				No
Winery	а	1200.0	180.0		Х				Yes
Winery	а	80.0	12.0				X		No
Winery	а	2.0	0.3		х				No
Winery	а	1023.0	153.5				х		No
Vineyards	а	650.0	97.5	×					Yes
Winery	а	2300.0	345.0				X		Yes
Winery	а	1000.0	150.0				X		Yes
Grand Total -	· Area A	91,192.5	13,689.9						
Vineyards	other	256.0	38.4		x				No
Grand Total		256.0	38.4		 				

Survey Response Stats:					
Total Number					
of Surveys					
Sent:	252.0				
Total Number					
of Reponses:	144.0				
	144.0				
Response					
Percentage:	0.6				
Grand Total of					
Tons Crushed					
for All Areas:	114,049.8				
Grand Total of					
Tons of					
Pomace for					
All Areas:	17,118.5				